

Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police PERSONNEL ORDER Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

August 28, 2023

EFFECTIVE DATE

August 17, 2023

NUMBER

PO:23-367

40	
WRITTEN REPRIMAND	AMENDS:
OFFICER DANIEL HEMPEL / 56211	RESCINDS:

Officer Daniel Hempel is hereby given a Written Reprimand for violation of General Order 1973-02K, Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 – Violating Any Rules of the Department.

This Written Reprimand was approved by the Urban County Council on August 17, 2023.

Havrence B. Weathers

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

LBW/rmh

LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

AGREEMENT OF CONFORMITY WITH KRS 95.450 / 15.520 AND RELEASE

FORM 113 (8/20)

An allegation has be	en made that:	Officer Daniel i	dempel #5621	1	
as committed the diolating any Rules					
ist other applicable l	aw or rule)	e provisions of KRS Procedures of Sworn			rational Rule 1.35
that on the	May	day(s) of	18	, 20 <u>23</u>	he/she allegedly:
forearm. Prior to re completed. On Mai	eceiving approval from rch 17, 2022, Chief W	el submitted a Tattoo m the Chief's Office ap /eathers denied the re pel's supervisor becan	oproving such equest and said	tattoo, Officer d notice was pr	Hempel had the tattoo rovided to Officer
•	since received appro	oval for and completed		ttoo to be in co	ompliance with
•	since received appro	oval for and completed		ttoo to be in co	ompliance with
•	since received appro	oval for and completed		ttoo to be in co	ompliance with
•	since received appro	oval for and completed		ttoo to be in co	ompliance with
•	since received appro	oval for and completed		ttoo to be in co	ompliance with
department policy.	450, 95.460 and 15.5	oval for and completed	d a cover up ta	ınd all rights g	juaranteed by these
nave read KRS 95.atutes, including turther, I, with know	450, 95.460 and 15.5 he rights to have fo	520, and attest that I to ormal charges prefer tions and my rights u of the Chief of Police	d a cover up ta fully understa red and a hea under KRS 95.	and all rights gring conducte	juaranteed by these d on those charges.

Urban County Council will not exceed the above recommendation of the Chief of Police.

If the Urban County Council rejects the above recommendation, I will be so notified, in which case I may withdraw my acceptance, and will be entitled to all rights, as applicable, under KRS 95.450, 95.460 and 15.520, and this agreement will not be used against me or by me in any hearing in determination of my quilt of punishment.

In further consideration of the acceptance of the above recommendation and penalty by the Urban County Council, I do for myself, my heirs, legal representatives, as assigns hereby expressly release and forever discharge the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, its officers, agents, employees, and their successors and assigns from all claims, demands, actions, damages or causes of action and from all liability for damages of whatsoever kind, nature of description that I ever had, now have or may have against the aforementioned entities created by or arising out of the action contained herein.

Employee Signature

Chief of Police Signature

07/18/23

07/19/23

ACTION BY URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

DISAPPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

Signature of Authorized Representative of Urban County Council

SUSPENSION SERVICE GUIDELINES:

Suspensions will be served as outlined in General Order series 1973-02 Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers.



Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police

MEMORANDUM

Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

June 29, 2023

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER COP: 23-0197

TO:
Kenneth Armstrong, Commissioner
Department of Public Safety

FROM: Lawrence B. Weathers

SUBJECT:
Disciplinary Recommendation
PIU2023F-004
Officer Daniel Hempel

I met with Officer Daniel Hempel on June 28, 2023, and have determined this as "Improper Conduct" for violation of:

 General Order 1973-02K - Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 - Violating Any Rules of the Department

I have recommended a Written Reprimand for this violation. I have included the summary of this formal complaint for your information.

Officer Hempel accepted this discipline on June 28, 2023.

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

Jawrence B. Weath

Chief of Police

LBW/rmh

Attachment



LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

June 13th, 2023

DATE OF ISSUE

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER

PIU: 23-046

0:	SUBJECT:
Lawrence Weathers	Formal PIU2023F-004
Chief of Police	Officer Daniel Hempel 56211
	Summary
ROM: :	
Lieutenant Jeff Jackson	
Bureau of Investigation	
Public Integrity Unit	

Sir,

This memorandum will provide a synopsis of the investigation; however, other supporting documents may be viewed in conjunction with this memorandum.

On June 9th, 2023 Lieutenant Meredith Taylor filed a formal complaint against Officer Daniel Hempel that alleged the following:

On March 2, 2022, Officer Daniel Hempel submitted a LPD Tattoo and Body Authorization request for a tattoo on his forearm. Prior to receiving authorization from the Chief's Office approving such tattoo, Officer Hempel had the tattoo completed. The request was denied on March 17, 2022 by Chief Weathers and said notice was provided to Officer Hempel. Officer Hempel failed to take any further action to notify his chain of command of his actions of already receiving the tattoo or make an attempt to adjust his non-approved tattoo in any manner.

If the above allegation is true, Officer Daniel Hempel is in violation of General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 - Violating Any Rules of the Department.

Investigation

On May 2, 2022, Officer Daniel Hempel submitted a LPD Tattoo and Body Authorization request for a tattoo on his forearm depicting a skull in a coffin with a tree growing from the skull. This would be located on his right forearm, between the wrist and the elbow, on the inside of the forearm. It would measure approximately 7 inches by 4 inches. Officer Hempel described the requested tattoos meaning as "Peace is what we make of it, all life stems from what happens in our minds".

Prior to receiving authorization from the Chief's Office approving the described tattoo, Officer Hempel had the tattoo completed. The request was denied on March 17, 2022 by Chief Weathers and said notice was provided to Officer Hempel. Officer Hempel failed to take any further action to notify his chain of command of his actions of already receiving the tattoo or make an attempt to adjust his non-approved tattoo in any manner.

On or about May 18, 2023, Commander Brotherton inquired with Officer Hempel about a rumor that Officer Hempel received a tattoo that was not authorized and in violation of GO 1973-05DD Personal Appearance of Sworn Officers. Officer Hempel advised that he did have a tattoo that was denied. Officer Hempel has since received approval for and completed a cover up tattoo to be in compliance with department policy. This tattoo depicts a Star Wars "Dark Trooper" helmet with a tree growing out of the top.

Interview with Officer Daniel Hempel

On Tuesday 6/13/2023 at around 1245 Officer Hempel came to the PIU office where an interview was conducted by Lieutenant Jackson and Commander Bacon.

Officer Hempel was asked to relate his recollection of the events that led to the investigation. He advised that on March 2nd of 2022 he submitted a tattoo request for a sketch made by a friend with whom he served in the military. Officer Hempel had left on active military duty after the submission was made. Based on conversations with co-workers, he assumed that the request would be approved within 2-3 days, and upon researching the guidelines did not believe it would be denied as it did not violate those guidelines, to his understanding. As the scheduled appointment with a tattoo artist came, and the request had not been approved or denied, he rescheduled the appointment for the following week.

When the second appointment arrived the next week, he went ahead and had the tattoo work done, as cancelling the appointment would cost money, and he still assumed the tattoo would be approved. The time period from the submission of the request, to the date the tattoo work was done, was approximately 2 weeks by his estimation. On March 17th of 2022 he received notification that the request had been denied. He began to research tattoo removal options, including laser removal, and found that the sessions involved could affect the dermatological issues that he was experiencing at that point.

When asked why he did not inform his chain of command as to the circumstances of his unapproved tattoo, Officer Hempel stated he was concerned about the possibility of being terminated. He stated that he concealed the tattoo when on duty, as well as when working off duty or at departmental facilities. He facilitated this by wearing long sleeved uniforms.

When asked what he has done to rectify the situation and prevent future issues, Officer Hempel decided against removal as it would take multiple sessions and a longer period of time before he would be in compliance with policy. He decided to cover up the tattoo with an approved design, which is work that has been completed. When asked what he would do differently if given the opportunity, he stated he would have placed the tattoo on a location on his body unable to be seen while in uniform, as the design is important to him. When asked if given the same circumstances, and he had gotten the tattoo in a visible location before receiving the denied request, he stated he would have advised his supervisor of the error immediately and taken steps to rectify it.

Conclusion

Officer Hempel does not dispute that he was in violation of General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 - Violating Any Rules of the Department, specifically General Order 1973-05DD - Personal Appearance of Sworn Officers. He takes full responsibility for getting an unapproved tattoo before he received notification of departmental approval or disapproval. He also takes full responsibility for not bringing the situation to his supervisor's attention until asked about the situation nearly a year later.

Officer Hempel received approval for a different tattoo that would cover the unapproved one, and has already had the work done. This was done in order to bring himself into compliance with departmental standards and policy. Since bringing himself into compliance, he has returned to regular duties as a patrol officer.

(e-mailed)

Lieutenant Jeff Jackson Bureau of Investigation Public Integrity Unit

cc: file - PIU2023F-004



Lexington Police Department MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

June 9, 2023

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER

PIU: 23-043

TO:
 Assistant Chief Brian Maynard
 Bureau of Patrol

FROM:
 Lieutenant Jeffery Jackson
 Bureau of Investigation
 Public Integrity Unit

COMPLAINANT: Lieutenant Meredith Taylor

ACCUSED OFC.: Officer Daniel Hempel

ALLEGATION: Violating General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers,

Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 - Violating Any Rules of the Department.

ALLEGED CIRCUMSTANCES: On March 2, 2022, Officer Daniel Hempel submitted a LPD Tattoo and Body Authorization request for a tattoo on his forearm. Prior to receiving authorization from the Chief's Office approving such tattoo, Officer Hempel had the tattoo completed. The request was denied on March 17, 2022 by Chief Weathers and said notice was provided to Officer Hempel. Officer Hempel failed to take any further action to notify his chain of command of his actions of already receiving the tattoo or make an attempt to adjust his non-approved tattoo in any manner.

ACTION REQUESTED:

- The Bureau Commander and Officer Hempel should sign the Acknowledgment Sheet and process this complaint.
- The Commanding Officer should provide the attached copy of the Form 111 and the Officer's Rights Packet to Officer Hempel.
- Officer Hempel should contact the Public Integrity Unit to arrange for a time to provide a formal statement.

	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TIME</u>
Bureau Commander Maria Theres	06/12/2	z 1600
Supervisor Moredith Suylor Officer Inn Man	6-9-23	1625
Officer from MM	06/09/23	1625
Accused officer would like the Public Integrity Unit to not that a formal complaint is filed against them. (Circle One)		t or their designed
Returned to the Public Integrity Unit	6-13-2023	

Vieutenant Jeffery Jackson Bureau of Investigation Public Integrity Unit

mrv

enclosures

cc: Chief Lawrence Weathers file – PIU2023F-004

FORM 111 (5/21)

LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FORMAL COMPLAINT FORM

File #: PIU 2023F-004	FOR O	FFICIAL USE ONLY		
Employee Involved: Officer Daniel Hempel			Employee #: 56211	Hire Date: 10/23/2017
Present Assignment: Bureau of Patrol / West S	Sector			I
Complainant: Lieutenant Meredith Tayl	lor	Complainant Address: 150 E. Main Street	Lexington, KY 40507	
Complainant Phone #: (859) 258-3600	Alternate Complainant Phone #: N/A	Complainant Email: mtaylor@lexingtonp	olice.ky.gov	
Date of Incident: Time of Incident: 05/18/2023 Unknow			Date and Time Reported: 06/07/2023	How Reported: □Letter □Phone □Person ⊠Email
DESCRIPTION OF ALLEGA	TIONS:			
tattoo completed. The re Officer Hempel. Officer already receiving the tatt If the above allegation is	eiving authorization from the equest was denied on March Hempel failed to take any fur too or make an attempt to act true, Officer Daniel Hempel ficers, Appendix B, Operation	17, 2022 by Chief W rther action to notify h ljust his non-approve is in violation of Gen	leathers and said notice whis chain of command of hid tattoo in any manner. eral Order 1973-02K Disc	vas provided to is actions of iplinary
I swear/affirm that the facts so Complainant Signature: Subscribed and sworn before	et out in the allegations herein and which build build build bree me this date:	2023 <u>C</u> Mu	nowledge and belief. Date: <u>Old - O.9 - 7</u> Control (Notary) ND (1023 W- KY20019
Witness: Name:		Address:	Pho	ne:

File #: F	าเบ	202	23F	-004	1
-----------	-----	-----	-----	------	---

Employee:	Officer	Daniel	Hem	pe
Employee:	Cilicoi	Danici	110111	μc

CH	OF	PA	1 8	CE
LOD	UF		_1	

С	General Orde	r 1973-02K Disciplinary Pro	Policy Violation		Violating Any Rules of the Depmt
	Octional Orde	1 1970-021 Disciplinary 1 100	cedures of Swort Officers, Appen	dex B, Operational Rule 1.55	Violating Arry Nates of the Depth

		- 17-XII-1 1			
•					
	of Police Reco als Reviewed	mmendation: Case Be		ning 🗵 Disciplinary Actio	on (see below)
atonic		Reviewed PIU Summary	/		
	onto				Maria.
	ents:				
tecor	nmend: Writt	en Reprimand			
	A.	vena B. Weethers			
gnati	ure:	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH			Date: <u>June 28, 2023</u>
			DISCIPLINARY REVIEW	BOARD	
nding]		Policy Violation		
iscipl	inary Review	Board Recommendation:	□Case Be Closed □Cor	rective Training Disci	plinary Action (see below)
iscipl		Board Recommendation:	☐ Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	☐ Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	☐ Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	☐Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	☐ Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	□ Case Be Closed □ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
		Board Recommendation:	□ Case Be Closed □ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below)
omm		Board Recommendation:	☐ Case Be Closed ☐ Cor	rective Training	plinary Action (see below) Date:
omm	ents:				
omm	ents:	CH	HIEF OF POLICE FINAL REC	OMMENDATION	Date:
gnati	ents:	CH		OMMENDATION	Date:
ignati	ents:	CH	HIEF OF POLICE FINAL REC	OMMENDATION	Date:
omm	ents:	CH	HIEF OF POLICE FINAL REC	OMMENDATION	Date:
ignati	ents:	CH	HIEF OF POLICE FINAL REC	OMMENDATION	Date:
gnati	ents:	CH	HIEF OF POLICE FINAL REC	OMMENDATION	Date: