

Lexington-Fayette Urban County
Division of Police
PERSONNEL ORDER
Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

August 23, 2022

EFFECTIVE DATE

August 18, 2022

NUMBER

PO:22-303

TO: WRITTEN REPRIMAND	AMEND	S:
INDEX AS: OFFICER JONATHAN COYLE	RESCIN	NDS:

Officer Jonathan Coyle is hereby given a Written Reprimand for violation of General Order 1973-02K, Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 – Violating Any Rules of the Department. In addition to this Written Reprimand, it was recommended and approved that Officer Coyle's Home Fleet Vehicle Privileges be suspended for two (2) weeks.

This Written Reprimand and Home Fleet Suspension was approved by the Urban County Council on August 18, 2022.

Jamena B. Weathers

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

LBW/rmh

LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

AGREEMENT OF CONFORMITY WITH KRS 95.450 / 15.520 AND RELEASE

has committed the	e offense of:					
	es of the Department					
	misconduct under the	e provisions of KR	S 95.450 and/o	r KRS 15.	520	
ist other applicable	•					
ieneral Order 197	3-02K Disciplinary Pro	ocedures of Sworn	Officers, App	endix B, O	pera	tional Rule 1.35
that on the	6th & 27th	day(s) of	March	, 20 <u>2</u>	22	_ he/she allegedly:
On March 6, 2022	the Lexington Police D	anartment received	la complaint th	at Officer	lonat	han Covle's assigned
						proximately 1647 hours
	o the end of his shift at					g this incident. On
	t approximately 1657 h			_		-
	as scheduled to work W					•
At the conclusion	of the investigation it w	vas determined Offi	cer Coyle violat	ted Genera	l Ord	er 1973-03S Operation
	of Department Vehicle				ed to	the Bureau of Patrol ar
expected to rema	in in their assigned sect	tors until the actual	end of their shi	ifts".		
This is Officer Cov	le's first sustained form	al complaint since l	nic amplaymen	t hagan on	Octo	her 19 2015
This is Officer Coy	ie s mst sustamed form	iai complaint since i	ns employmen	t began on	Octo	bci 13, 2013.
					-	
have read KRS 9	5.450, 95.460 and 15.52	20, and attest that	I fully understa	and all rigl	hts g	uaranteed by these
have read KRS 9	5.450, 95.460 and 15.52 If the rights to have for	20, and attest that rmal charges prefe	I fully understa	and all rigl	hts gi	uaranteed by these I on those charges.
tatutes, including	g the rights to have for	rmal charges prefe	rred and a hea	ring cond	ucted	l on those charges.
tatutes, including urther, I, with kno	g the rights to have for owledge of the provisi	rmal charges prefe ions and my rights	rred and a hea under KRS 95	ring cond .450, 95.46	ucted 60, ar	d on those charges.
tatutes, including urther, I, with kno onsideration of t	g the rights to have for owledge of the provisi he recommendation of	rmal charges prefe ions and my rights f the Chief of Polic	rred and a hea under KRS 95	ring cond .450, 95.46	ucted 60, ar	d on those charges.
tatutes, including urther, I, with kno onsideration of the nat the appropria	g the rights to have for owledge of the provisi he recommendation of te punishment for this	rmal charges prefe ions and my rights f the Chief of Polic s conduct is:	rred and a hea under KRS 95 e of the Lexing	ring cond .450, 95.46 gton Police	ucted 60, ar	d on those charges.
tatutes, including urther, I, with kno onsideration of the nat the appropria	g the rights to have for owledge of the provisi he recommendation of	rmal charges prefe ions and my rights f the Chief of Polic s conduct is:	rred and a hea under KRS 95 e of the Lexing	ring cond .450, 95.46 gton Police	ucted 60, ar	d on those charges.
tatutes, including urther, I, with kno onsideration of the hat the appropria	g the rights to have for owledge of the provisi he recommendation of te punishment for this	rmal charges prefe ions and my rights f the Chief of Polic s conduct is:	rred and a hea under KRS 95 e of the Lexing	ring cond .450, 95.46 gton Police	ucted 60, ar	d on those charges.

If the Urban County Council rejects the above recommendation, I will be so notified, in which case I may withdraw my acceptance, and will be entitled to all rights, as applicable, under KRS 95.450, 95.460 and 15.520, and this agreement will not be used against me or by me in any hearing in determination of my guilt of punishment.

In further consideration of the acceptance of the above recommendation and penalty by the Urban County Council, I do for myself, my heirs, legal representatives, as assigns hereby expressly release and forever discharge the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, its officers, agents, employees, and their successors and assigns from all claims, demands, actions, damages or causes of action and from all liability for damages of whatsoever kind, nature of description that I ever had, now have or may have against the aforementioned entities created by or arising out of the action contained herein.

Employee Signature

Chief of Police Signature

7/1/22 Date

7/18/22

ACTION BY URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

DISAPPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

8-18-2072

Signature of Authorized Representative of Urban County Council

SUSPENSION SERVICE GUIDELINES:

Suspensions will be served as outlined in General Order series 1973-02 Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers.



Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police

MEMORANDUM

Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

June 27, 2022

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER COP: 22-0127

TO:	Kenneth Armstrong, Commissioner Department of Public Safety	SUBJECT: Disciplinary Recommendation PIU2022F-007 Officer Jonathan Coyle
FROM:	Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police	

I met with Officer Jonathan Coyle on June 23, 2022, and have determined this as "Improper Conduct" for violation of:

General Order 1973-02K - Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 – Violating Any Rules of the Department

I have recommended a Written Reprimand, and a two (2) week Suspension of Home Fleet Privileges. I have included the summary of this formal complaint for your information. Reviewed PIU synopsis regarding the complaint.

Officer Coyle accepted this discipline on June 25, 2022.

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

Sources & Weathers

LBW/rmh

Attachment



LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

June 9th, 2022

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER

PIU 22-060

TO: Chief Lawrence Weathers Chief of Police	Formal P.I.U.22.F.007 Officer Jonathan Coyle Summary
FROM: Lieutenant David Biroschik Bureau of Investigation Public Integrity Unit	

This memorandum will provide a synopsis of the investigation; however, other supporting documents may be viewed in conjunction with this memorandum.

On April 25th, 2022 Lieutenant Daniel Burnett filed a formal complaint against Officer Jonathan Coyle for the violation of General Order 1973-02K, Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.35 – Violating Any Rules of the Department.

Allegations:

On Sunday, March 6, 2022, LPD received a complaint of Officer Jonathan Coyle's assigned cruiser cutting off a vehicle on the bypass in Richmond, KY at approximately 1647 hours. Officer Coyle was assigned to West Sector 1st shift this date and he was scheduled to work until 1700 hours. Upon investigating this complaint, West Sector supervisors determined that Officer Coyle had left his assigned sector early this date without approval. As a result, Officer Coyle was on 3/18/22 regarding GO 1973-03S Operation and Maintenance of Department Vehicles Section V.B.2., specifically focusing on the importance of "Officers assigned to the Bureau of Patrol are expected to remain in their assigned sectors until the actual end of their shifts."

On Sunday, March 27, 2022, LPD received a complaint of Officer Jonathan Coyle's assigned cruiser being observed again on the bypass in Richmond, KY at approximately 1657 hours. Officer Coyle was assigned to West Sector 1st shift this date and was scheduled to work until 1700 hours. Upon investigating this incident, West Sector supervisors determined that Officer Coyle had left his assigned sector early again without approval on this date.

If the above is true than Officer Coyle is in violation of General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers Section 1.35 Violating Any Rule of the Department.

Investigation

On May 3rd, 2022 Officer Jonathan Coyle was interviewed in the PIU Office by Commander Chad Bacon and myself. During this interview Officer Coyle admitted to committing violations as described in the above allegation. Although not making an excuse for them, he explains why he did so below.

On Sunday March 6th Officer Coyle advised he had been assigned as the wagon that day and had already turned it over to Second Shift personnel. He remembers getting a late call but did leave the county early to get home, so his wife did not have to rush home to get their child. He does not recall the exact time he left county, but admitted that the alleged time of being observed in Richmond at 1647 was possible and likely so.

When he was observed in Richmond this date it was from a complaint that a motorist called in complaining that Officer Coyle "cut" them off in rainy weather. Officer Coyle does not recall this occurring and states he doesn't normally drive in this manner and believes the complainant's version is different than his. Officer Coyle was

for this incident on March 18th.

The second incident occurred on Sunday March 27th, once again in Richmond. On this date a complainant called in and stated they saw Officer Coyle out of county on the bypass of Richmond at 1657, which was prior to the end of his shift. When questioned about this date, Officer Coyle also admitted to the violation and stated he had left county at approximately 1650. The reason for leaving this date was for the fact that he had been sick all day, with a stomach ailment. He had advised his supervisor during the shift of the issue and by the time his shift was coming to an end he was feeling worse. He also advised his wife had to leave town that day at 1700, so when Second Shift Officers began taking the priority calls he left and went home.

Officer Coyle was questioned on his knowledge of policy and expectations for officers that live out of county. He stated he understood policy and new the expectations were for him to stay in sector until the end of his shift.

Lieutenant Daniel Burnett was interviewed on May 16th in the Public Integrity Unit. Lt. Burnett stated he thought that Officer Coyle was a good officer overall and had no lingering issues with him. After the first incident and he spoke with him about any possible conflicts he was having. Officer Coyle at this time stated he did have a childcare issue on Sundays, with the timing he and his wife were having with picking their child up from daycare. Lt. Burnett worked out a system at this point where he told Officer Coyle that he could schedule adjust his hours at any time to assist with him picking up his child. He thought at this time that issues had been fixed. On the second date and allegation, Officer Coyle had not requested any schedule adjustments, nor inquired about going home early.

Due to technical issues with the GPS system in Officer Coyle's Police Cruiser there was no tracking data for either date in question. This aside, with Officer Coyle's admission and confirmation from the phoned in driving complaint, I would recommend this complaint be sustained for the listed policy violation.



Lexington Police Department MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

April 25, 2022

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER

PIU: 22-045

:	SUBJECT:
Assistant Chief Brian Maynard	
Bureau of Patrol	FORMAL COMPLAINT
M:	
Lieutenant David Biroschik	
Bureau of Investigation	
Public Integrity Unit	

COMPLAINANT: Lieutenant Daniel Burnett

ACCUSED OFC.: Officer Jonathan Coyle

ALLEGATION: Violation of General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers,

Appendix B, Operational Rule1.35 - Violating Any Rules of the Department.

ALLEGED CIRCUMSTANCES: On Sunday, March 6, 2022, LPD received a complaint of Officer Jonathan Coyle's assigned cruiser cutting off a vehicle on the bypass in Richmond, KY at approximately 1647 hours. Officer Coyle was assigned to West Sector 1st shift this date and he was scheduled to work until 1700 hours. Upon investigating this complaint, West Sector supervisors determined that Officer Coyle had left his assigned sector early this date without approval. As a result, Officer Coyle was on 3/18/22 regarding GO 1973-03S Operation and Maintenance of Department Vehicles Section V.B.2., specifically focusing on the importance of "Officers assigned to the Bureau of Patrol are expected to remain in their assigned sectors until the actual end of their shifts."

On Sunday, March 27, 2022, LPD received a complaint of Officer Jonathan Coyle's assigned cruiser being observed again on the bypass in Richmond, KY at approximately 1657 hours. Officer Coyle was assigned to West Sector 1st shift this date and was scheduled to work until 1700 hours. Upon investigating this incident, West Sector supervisors determined that Officer Coyle had left his assigned sector early again without approval on this date.

ACTION REQUESTED:

- The Bureau Commander and Officer Coyle should sign the Acknowledgment Sheet and process this complaint.
- The Commanding Officer should provide the attached copy of the Form 111 and the Officer's Rights Packet to Officer Coyle.
- Officer Coyle should contact the Public Integrity Unit to arrange for a time to provide a formal statement.

	<u>DATE</u>	TIME
Bureau Commander	4/24/22	1357
Supervisor <u>L7 D7 44866</u>	04-26-202	12 1346
Officer	04-26-2022	1345
Accused officer would like the Public Integrity Unit to notify that a formal complaint is filed against them. (Circle One)	YES or NO	t or their designee
Returned to the Public Integrity Unit	5304 476	0/2027/1/38

Lieutenant David Biroschik Bureau of Investigation Public Integrity Unit

mrv

enclosures

cc: Chief Lawrence Weathers file – PIU2022F-007

FORM 111 (5/21)

LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FORMAL COMPLAINT FORM

File #: PIU 2022	PF-007			FICIAL USE ONLY			
Employee Involve Officer Jonatha					Er	nployee #: 53612	Hire Date: 10/19/2015
Present Assignment Bureau of Patr		ecto	r First Shift				
Complainant: Lieutenant Dar	n Burnett			Complainant Address: 150 E. Main Street			
Complainant Pho	ne #: A	lterna	ate Complainant Phone #:	Complainant Email: dburnett@lexingtonpo	lice.ky.gov		:
Date of Incident: Multiple	Time of Incid	- 1	Location of Incident: Madison County Kentu	ıcky	Date and Time Vario	-	How Reported: □Letter □Phone ☑Person □Email
DESCRIPTION O	F ALLEGAT	IONS	:				
vehicle on the 1st shift this da supervisors de Officer Coyle v Vehicles Section expected to re	bypass in I ate and he atermined the vas on V.B.2., s main in the	Richr was : nat O c speci ir as:	PD received a complain mond, KY at approxima scheduled to work until officer Coyle had left his on 3/18/22 regarding Gofically focusing on the isigned sectors until the	tely 1647 hours. Office 1700 hours. Upon inv assigned sector early 0 1973-03S Operation mportance of "Officers actual end of their shif	er Coyle was estigating this this date with and Maintena assigned to tts."	assigned to s complain sout approv ance of De he Bureau	o West Sector t, West Sector val. As a result, partment of Patrol are
observed again	n on the by t this date a	pass and v	PD received a complai in Richmond, KY at ap vas scheduled to work d that Officer Coyle had	oproximately 1657 hour until 1700 hours. Upor	s. Officer Co i investigating	yle was as this incide	signed to West ent, West
If the above is Officers, Appe	true Office ndix B, Ope	r Coy eratio	yle is in violation of Ger onal Rule1.35 "Violating	neral Order 1973-02K E g Any Rules of the Dep	Disciplinary Pr artment."	rocedures	of Sworn
		t out i	in the allegations herein are	e true to the best of my kno	owledge and be	lief.	2420
Complainant Sign		re me	this date: 4/25/22	Melan	Date:	w Kyn	1920919
			(Date)	My Commiss	(Nesion Expires:). 3.	3075
Witness: Name:			F	Address:		Phor	ne:

File #: PIU 20	OUIEE OF DOLLOR
[Einding:	CHIEF OF POLICE PC=Proper Conduct, IC=Improper Conduct, IE=Insufficient Evidence, PF=Policy Failure, UC=Unfounded Complaint]
inding	Policy Violation
	al Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule1.35 "Violating Any Rules of the Depart
Chief of Police	Recommendation: Case Be Closed Corrective Training Disciplinary Action (see below)
	Reviewed PIU Summary
	Tronibut to Cummary
Comments:	
Recommend V	Vritten Reprimand and Two(2) weeks suspension of home fleet.
Signature:	Date: June 27, 2022
Signature:	
	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
Signature:	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
Finding	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD
Finding	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
inding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation
Finding Disciplinary Re	DISCIPLINARY REVIEW BOARD Policy Violation

Signature: ______ Date: _____