

# Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police PERSONNEL ORDER Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

August 31, 2021

EFFECTIVE DATE

August 26, 2021

NUMBER

PO:21-322

V	
WRITTEN REPRIMAND	AMENDS:
INDEX AS: OFFICER MATTHEW BARRET T	RESCINDS:

Officer Matthew Barrett is hereby given a Written Reprimand for violation of General Order 1973-02K, Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule, Section 1.08, Accountability and Responsibility.

This Written Reprimand was approved by the Urban County Council on August 26, 2021.

Saurence B. Weathers

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

LBW/rmh

#### LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

#### AGREEMENT OF CONFORMITY WITH KRS 95.450 / 15.520 AND RELEASE

FORM 113 (8/20)

ne provisions of KRS			
•			
	omcers, Appi	endix B, Opera	tional Rule 1.08
day(s) of	July	, 20 <u>21</u>	_ he/she allegedly:
and he was denied due vas sick and would be a t sick, but was out of to	e to staffing le able to report own on vacati	evels. On Saturo for duty. After on.	day, July 3rd Officer further inquiry it was
iired, being both physi	cally and men	itally fit, and pro	
		ed on May 14, 2	018. He understands
520, and attest that I to ormal charges preferi	fully understa red and a hea	and all rights g ring conducte	uaranteed by these d on those charges.
sions and my rights u of the Chief of Police is conduct is:	inder KRS 95 of the Lexing	.450, 95.460, a gton Police De	nd 15.520 and in partment, acknowledge
	to report to duty as pand he was denied ductors sick and would be as sick, but was out of to which states "Officer proper performance or mal complaint since of the dull responsibility.  520, and attest that I sormal charges preference of the Chief of Police	to report to duty as previously sche and he was denied due to staffing let as sick and would be able to report it sick, but was out of town on vacation which states "Officers shall report in it is being both physically and menine proper performance of his duties formal complaint since being employed the full responsibility.  520, and attest that I fully understated and a head sions and my rights under KRS 95 of the Chief of Police of the Lexing	520, and attest that I fully understand all rights gormal charges preferred and a hearing conductersions and my rights under KRS 95.450, 95.460, and the Chief of Police of the Lexington Police De

If the Urban County Council rejects the above recommendation, I will be so notified, in which case I may withdraw my acceptance, and will be entitled to all rights, as applicable, under KRS 95.450, 95.460 and 15.520, and this agreement will not be used against me or by me in any hearing in determination of my guilt of punishment.

In further consideration of the acceptance of the above recommendation and penalty by the Urban County Council, I do for myself, my heirs, legal representatives, as assigns hereby expressly release and forever discharge the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, its officers, agents, employees, and their successors and assigns from all claims, demands, actions, damages or causes of action and from all liability for damages of whatsoever kind, nature of description that I ever had, now have or may have against the aforementioned entities created by or arising out of the action contained herein.

Employee Signature

Chief of Police Signature

8 18 2021 Date

**ACTION BY URBAN COUNTY COUNCIL** 

APPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

DISAPPROVE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION

Signature of Authorized Representative of Urban County Council

#### SUSPENSION SERVICE GUIDELINES:

Suspensions will be served as outlined in General Order series 1973-02 Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers.



#### Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police

#### **MEMORANDUM**

Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE

August 12, 2021

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER COP: 21-0130

TO:	Kenneth Armstrong, Commissioner Department of Public Safety	SUBJECT: Disciplinary Recommendation P.I.U.2021.F.015 Officer Matthew Barrett
FROM:	Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police	

I met with Officer Matthew Barrett on August 11, 2021, and have determined this as "Improper Conduct" for violation of:

• General Order 1973-02K - Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.08 – Accountability and Responsibility

I have recommended a Written Reprimand for the violation. I have included the summary of this formal complaint for your information.

Officer Barrett accepted this discipline on August 11, 2021.

Lawrence B. Weathers Chief of Police

Savrena B. Weathe

LBW/rmh

Attachment



#### LEXINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

07/29/2021

DATE OF ISSUE

07/29/2021

PIU 21-078

NUMBER

TO:
Lawrence Weathers
Chief of Police

FROM:
Lieutenant Matt Brotherton
Bureau of Investigation - Public Integrity Unit

SUBJECT:
Summary of Formal Complaint on Ofc. Matthew
Barrett, PIU2021F-015

Sir,

This memorandum will provide a summary of the investigation into the Formal Complaint filed by Lt. Larry Kinnard against Officer Matthew Barrett. According to the Complaint:

On 07/03/2021, Officer Matthew Barrett failed to report to duty as he was scheduled. On or about 4/12/2021, Officer Barret submitted a leave request to Lt. Kinnard asking to take off 7/2-4/2021. On 05/02/2021, pursuant to the Officer / Sergeant CBA, Lt. Kinnard formally denied the leave request for 07/3-4/2021.

On Saturday 7/3/2021, Officer Barrett contacted Sergeant Ruebsam and indicated that he was "sick" and would not be able to work. Sergeant Ruebsam clarified with Officer Barrett if he was really sick or just calling in to take off since he was previously denied leave this date. Officer Barrett indicated that he was not sick, but would not be able to come in to work this date since he was out of town. Officer Barrett was marked sick on the roster for 07/03/2021 since he did not report for duty.

If the above allegations true, then Officer Matthew Barrett violated General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.08 Accountability and Responsibility: Officers shall report for duty, including court and overtime assignments, at the time and place required, being both physically and mentally fit, and properly equipped and aware of the information required for the proper performance of his duties.

#### Investigation

Pursuant to the filing of the Formal Complaint, memos were submitted by Lt. Kinnard and Sgt. Ruebsam, Officer Barrett's chain of command. According to the memos submitted, Officer Barrett submitted leave requests in May requesting multiple days off, to include July 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>. Lt. Kinnard denied the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> due to holiday staffing requirements. According to Lt. Kinnard's memo, Officer Barrett informed Lt. Kinnard that he had a planned vacation and had already paid for it.

On July 3<sup>rd</sup>, according to Sgt. Ruebsam and Lt. Kinnard, Officer Barrett contacted Sgt. Ruebsam by text requesting Sick Leave for July 3<sup>rd</sup>. Sgt. Ruebsam contacted Lt. Kinnard, inquiring about beat staffing due to someone calling in sick. When Lt. Kinnard found out that it was Officer Barrett, he informed Sgt. Ruebsam about Officer Barrett's holiday plans. Sgt. Ruebsam then confronted

Officer Barrett, who acknowledged that he, in-fact was not sick, but was instead out of town on vacation. Ofc. Barrett was not present for his July 3<sup>rd</sup> shift but did work his July 4<sup>th</sup> shift.

#### **Interview with Officer Barrett**

On July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2021, Lt. David Biroschik and I spoke with Officer Barrett in the PIU Office. The interview was recorded.

During the conversation with Officer Barrett, he stated that he had submitted a leave request for July 1<sup>st</sup> through July 4<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Officer Barrett said that the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> were denied by Lt. Kinnard. He acknowledged that Lt. Kinnard told him that the leave was denied due to staffing levels and suggested to Officer Barrett to try and find someone who would switch with him. Officer Barrett was unable to find someone to cover his July 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> shifts.

According to Officer Barrett, on Saturday July 3<sup>rd</sup>, he was out-of-town on vacation and contacted Sgt. Ruebsam and requested sick leave and did not work his scheduled July 3<sup>rd</sup> shift. Following advice from Sgt. Ruebsam, Officer Barrett was back at work on July 4<sup>th</sup>.

Officer Barrett stated that he had ridden out-of-town with a friend and the friend was not driving back to town until the end of the July 4<sup>th</sup> weekend; Officer Barrett acknowledged that his poor planning prevented him from returning to work. He stated that what he did was not fair to the shift and his co-workers.

Officer Barrett recognized that what he did created an imposition on his shift, was not appropriate, and was a violation of policy.

#### Conclusion

Officer Barrett, after having a leave request denied, left town on vacation, without making arrangements to be back for his scheduled shift. Additionally, Ofc. Barrett attempted to use Sick Leave when his vacation request had already been denied.

Lieutenant Matt Brotherton
Bureau of Investigation
Public Integrity Unit

cc: file - PIU 2021F-015



## Lexington Police Department MEMORANDUM Lexington, Kentucky

DATE OF ISSUE
July 13, 2021

EFFECTIVE DATE

NUMBER

PIU: 21-069

TO:
 Assistant Chief Brian Maynard
 Bureau of Patrol

FROM:
 Lieutenant Matthew Brotherton
 Bureau of Investigation
 Public Integrity Unit

**COMPLAINANT:** Lt. Larry Kinnard

**ACCUSED OFC.:** Officer Matthew Barrett

ALLEGATION: Violation of General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn

Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.08 Accountability and Responsibility

**ALLEGED CIRCUMSTANCES:** On 07/03/2021, Officer Matthew Barrett failed to report to duty as he was scheduled. On or about 4/12/2021, Officer Barret submitted a leave request to Lt. Kinnard asking to take off 7/2-4/2021. On 05/02/2021, pursuant to the Officer / Sergeant CBA, Lt. Kinnard formally denied the leave request for 07/3-4/2021.

On Saturday 7/3/2021, Officer Barrett contacted Sgt. Ruebsam and indicated that he was "sick" and would not be able to work. Sgt. Ruebsam clarified with Officer Barrett if he was really sick or just calling in to take off since he was previously denied leave this date. Officer Barrett indicated that he was not sick, but would not be able to come in to work this date since he was out of town. Officer Barrett was marked sick on the roster for 07/03/2021 since he did not report for duty.

#### **ACTION REQUESTED:**

- The Bureau Commander and Officer Barrett should sign the Acknowledgment Sheet and process this complaint.
- The Commanding Officer should provide the attached copy of the Form 111 and the Officer's Rights Packet to Officer Barrett.
- Officer Barrett should contact the Public Integrity Unit to arrange for a time to provide a formal statement.

Bureau Commander \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	07-13-21	1654	_
Supervisor aug hum 39444	07-13-21	1654	
Officer Casal	07-13-21	1/045	

Accused officer would like the Public Integrity Unit to notify the FOP President or their designee that a formal complaint is filed against them. (Circle One) YES or NO

**DATE** 

TIME

Returned to the Public Integrity Unit

Leutenant Matthew Brotherton

Bureau of Investigation Public Integrity Unit

mrv

enclosures

cc: Chief Lawrence Weathers file – PIU2021F-015

### **Lexington Police Department** Formal Complaint

**Form 111** 

File #: PIU2021F-015

Member(s) Involved		Employee No.	D.O.B.	D.O.E	Present Ass	ignment	
Barrett, Matthew		56661		5/14/2018	BOP - Ce	ntral/2nd	
Complainant Lt. Larry Kinnard	Complainant		Address-Apt. No Zip Code		Telephone 1	Telephone No.	
Employed By		Business Addres	Business Address - Zip Code			Telephone No.	
Lexington Police Department		150 E. Main					
Date of Incident	Time of Incident	Location of Incident		Date and Time Reported		How Reported:	
7/3/2021	1600	N/A		7/14/2021		Letter Phone Person Email	
On 07/03/2021, Offi 4/12/2021, Officer B 05/02/2021, pursuan 4/2021.	cer Matthew Bar sarret submitted a	leave request	to Lt. Kinna	rd asking to ta	ke off 7/2-4	4/2021. On	
On Saturday 7/3/2021, Officer Barrett contacted Sgt. Ruebasam and indicated that he was "sick" and would not be able to work. Sgt. Ruebsam clarified with Officer Barrett if he was really sick or just calling in to take off since he was previously denied leave this date. Officer Barrett indicated that he was not sick, but would not be able to come in to work this date since he was out of town. Officer Barrett was marked sick on the roster for 07/03/2021 since he did not report for duty.							
If the above allegations true, then Officer Matthew Barrett violated General Order 1973-02K Disciplinary Procedures of Sworn Officers, Appendix B, Operational Rule 1.08 Accountability and Responsibility: Officers shall report for duty, including court and overtime assignments, at the time and place required, being both physically and mentally fit, and properly equipped and aware of the information required for the proper performance of his duties.							
I swear/affirm that the facts set out above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.    Date: 7/13/21							
XX/24			My Co	mmission Expires	s: 2.37		
Witnesses: Name		Address			Phone Num	ıber	

Name

Chief of Police (or "Designee"):				
Policy Violation	Finding			
GO 1973-OZK OR 1.08 ACCOUNTABILITY AND	Proper Conduct			
RESPONSIBLETY	Improper Conduct			
	Insufficient Evidence			
	Policy Failure			
	Unfounded			
Chief of Police Recommendati	ion			
Recommend Case Be Closed Corrective Training Recommended	Below Disciplinary Action Recommended			
Comments:				
WRITTEN REPRIMAND				
Signature Sound Witer	Date: 08/11/2021			
Disciplinary Review Board				
Policy Violation	Finding			
	Proper Conduct			
	Improper Conduct			
	Insufficient Evidence			
	Policy Failure			
	Unfounded			
Disciplinary Review Board Recomm	endation			
Recommend Case Be Closed Corrective Training Recommended	Below Disciplinary Action Recommended			
Comments:				
Signature:	Date:			
Chief of Police 2nd Recommend	ation			
Recommend Case Be Closed Corrective Training Recommended	Below Disciplinary Action Recommended			
Comments:	The state of the s			
Signature:	Date:			
Proper Conduct: Allegation is true; the action of the agency or the officer was consist	tent with agency policy.			
Improper Conduct: The allegation is true; the action of the agency or the officer was inconsistent with agency policy.				
Insufficient Evidence: There is insufficient proof to confirm or to refute the allegation.				
Policy Failure: The action of the agency or the officer was consistent with agency policy, but the policy did not take into account the				
circumstances present in this instance.				
Unfounded Complaint: Either the allegation is demonstrably false or there is no credi	ble evidence to support it.			