Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government Stormwater Quality Projects Incentive Grant Program CLASS B EDUCATION PROJECTS - SCORING WORKSHEET

Document #INC.2017E.3 - Last Revised 04/01/2016

Name of Scorer #1: Name of Scorer #2: Name of Scorer #3:					
FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2017 Is this the first year this project has been ranked? (circle one)	YES	NO			
Applicant Name:					
Project Site Location/Address:					
Is the Applicant the Class B Property Owner? (circle one) YES NO					
If not, Name/Address of Property Owner:					
Project Description:					
Is the project related to another Grant Application? (circle one) YES NO If YES list other project:					
Type of Grant Requested: (circle one) (a) ≤ \$2,500 (b) > \$2,500					
Amount of Grant Requested: \$					
GENERAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS:	YES	NO	N/A		
1. Applicant is a WQMF Rate-payer?	123		IVA		
2. Applicant certifies they have no active code violations?3. Provides minimum cost share?					
4. Other:					
RANKING CRITERIA 1. PROJECT IMPACT (45% weight factor) Does the program involve:	Circle all th	at apply	Score	Category Weight	Weighted Score
1a) an educational component? (e.g. audience is taught about water quality issues etc.)	If YES:	30			
 a public involvement component? (e.g. audience participates in activity to improve water quality, etc.) both educational and public involvement components? 		30 10			
1d) Is the project tied to a water quality/quantity BMP project? TOTAL	If YES:	30 100		45%	
2. TARGET AUDIENCE (25% weight factor) 2a) Does the applicant have a well-defined target audience?	If YES:	20			
2b) What is the size of the target audience?		20			
i. > 1,000 people ii. 100 to 1,000 people	Circle one:	45 40			
iii. 50 to 100 people		35			
iv. 20 - 50 people		20			
v. < 20 people 2c) Does the applicant demonstrate a need for the program?	If YES:	10 10			
2d) Does the applicant link the program target audience to the proposed subject material?	If YES:	25			
TOTAL	CATEGORY 2:	100		25%	
3. PROJECT SUCCESS MEASURES (10% weight factor) 3a) Does the applicant demonstrate readiness and ability to create and implement the program?	If YES:	25			
3b) Does the applicant demonstrate readiness and ability to fund the cost sharing portion of the program?	If YES:	25			
3c) Does the applicant provide appropriate and quantifiable program success measures?	If YES:	10			
3d) Does the program result in a permanent improvement in the stormwater conveyance system and/or water quality (e.g. storm drain markers, stream cleaned, pollutant source removed, etc.)	If YES:	40			
	CATEGORY 3:	100		10%	
4. PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY (20% weight factor)	If VEC.	40			
4a) Does the program contain a long-term component for ongoing education? 4b) Does the applicant have dedicated personnel available to implement the program on a long-term basis?	If YES:	40 30			
4c) Does the applicant document an ongoing source of funding for future program use? (beyond the grant end)	If YES:	30			
TOTAL	CATEGORY 4:	100		20%	
		TOTAL PF	ROJECT S	CORE:	
Other Paviower Comments for consideration by the Water Quality Face Poord:					
Other Reviewer Comments for consideration by the Water Quality Fees Board:					
Signature/Date of Scorer #1:					
Signature/Date of Scorer #2:					
Signature/Date of Scorer #2: Signature/Date of Scorer #3:					